SINGLE BENCH

1 05/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT - BILASPL

WRIT PETITION No. 1206 /2006

PETITIONER : RADHA DEVI MOHNI

AGED – 45 YEARS

W/O SHRI Dharmu ram sahu

Posted as –Mahila Swasthya Karta

AT –Sub-Centre-Telemarenga, PHC-Tokapal

Tah.-Jagadalpur,Distt. – Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of CG

Through – The Secretary,

Department of Health,

DKS, Bhawan RAIPUR [CG]

2. Collector,

Distt. -Bastar [CG]

3. Chief Medical & Health Officer

Jagadalpur,Distt.Bastar[CG]

4. Smt. Jhunki Korram,

Posted as –Mahila Swasthya Karta

AT –Sub-Centre-Telemarenga, PHC-Tokapal,Tah.-Jagadalpur,Distt. – Bastar (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3924/06
Presented by Shri Radhe Sahu
dated 25/7/06

RECEIVED-COPY
25/7/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE – 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP(S).4206.. सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-8-2006

Shri Ravish Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby she has been transferred from Sub Health Centre, Bade Marenga, Community Health Centre, Tokapal to Sub Health Centre, Bhejaripadar, Community Health Centre, Tokapal.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the transfer has been made for adjustment of respondent No.4 in place of the petitioner and the petitioner has been transferred in place of respondent No.4 which is evident form the transfer list and against the name of respondent No.4 it has been written that same is made on her request.

The second ground of challenge is that the impugned order does not reflect that the same has been made after getting approval from the Minister concerned as per the transfer scheme for the year 2006-07.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I have perused the petition and documents.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was working at the present place since 1990, therefore, she is working at that place for about more than 16 years. Moreover, it is not evident from any record that respondent No.4 had requested particularly for her posting at the petitioner's place and she has been posted in order to accommodate her.

As far as second ground is concerned, perusal of the transfer list shows that copy of the same has been sent to the Chief Minister

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	concerned, concerned Minister and Secretary, State of Chhattisgarh, as also to the Director, therefore, it shows that same has been done after getting approval from the concerned Minister, as such, I do not find any substance in this writ petition, same is liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3262/2006 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Barve

P.T.O.